

Currency Market Update, June 2016

Commentary by SIMON DERRICK

It is a given that monetary policy has played a vital role in driving the currency markets in the post-Nixon shock era, and that its influence has become ever more pervasive over the past two decades or so, as settings globally have become ever more extreme.

THE DOMINANT FORCE

Over the course of the past two years (following the introduction of negative interest rates by the Eastern Central Bank ("ECB"), the evidence points to monetary policy becoming such a dominant force for the foreign exchange markets, that it now largely drowns out all other factors. This is why the concerns that have risen since late spring about the likely pace of tightening by the Federal Reserve, matter.

Any discussion about what the Federal Reserve (the "Fed") is likely to do this summer must start with the fact that the dot-plot published at the end of the March meeting made it clear that, on average, members of the committee expected the target for the fed funds rate to be raised twice this year. On the assumption that the second hike would likely be scheduled for the December meeting and that the Fed would prefer not to hike rates just days ahead of the Presidential election in November, this left the April, June, July or September meetings as possible dates for the first move.

With no movement in April, this has left three potential dates for a move – each has its own particular set of problems.



FIRST MOVE TIMING

While the June meeting might seem the easiest choice, given it comes well before the start of the vacation season and the decline in liquidity that typically accompanies it, this also falls less than ten days before the European Union referendum in the United Kingdom ("UK"). A move at the July meeting has the advantage of coming after the referendum, but the disadvantage of coming just days before the start of August. Given the history of market turbulence in August over the past two decades, this would be a bold call by the Fed, particularly ahead of what promises to be a bitterly fought Presidential race. In some ways, September could prove an even worse choice, given that markets would likely spend all of August fretting about the impact of a rate hike. It could therefore be argued that, of the three, June appears to be the least worst option.

While it might be interesting to argue about the best date for the Fed to implement a hike or whether the signals from members of the Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC") are being misinterpreted, this misses the key strategic question of why the Fed would want to hike rates in the first place. For those that believe the "Fed put" has done more harm than good over the years, signs of a relatively hawkish Fed (certainly when compared to other major central banks) will be welcomed. However, a hawkish Fed brings with it the threat of renewed US dollar strength which, in turn, raises a number of potential issues.

IMPLICATIONS OF RENEWED USD STRENGTH

The most obvious of these is that US dollar strength has proved a significant destabilising force within emerging markets over the past twenty years. Most notably, renewed dollar strength from the summer of 2014 onwards not only forced Russia to adopt a floating exchange rate regime in the latter part of that year (thanks to the collapse in oil prices) but also sparked a sustained decline in the value of China's FX reserves. This latter phenomenon took on particular significance last August when misplaced fears over a yuan devaluation helped lead to heightened levels of volatility in global markets despite a staunch defence of the currency by Chinese authorities.

These fears resurfaced in January in the aftermath of the FOMC's first rate hike in close to a decade, leaving the Chinese authorities to decide whether to continue spending close to \$100bn a month to keep the currency stable, or to go with the unappealing alternatives of either allowing the yuan to devalue (bringing with it the threat of fresh unrest in global markets) or rowing back on capital account liberalisation. It is arguable that this is precisely why the Fed decided to send a far softer message at their meeting in January earlier this year. Whether or not this was the case, the US dollar certainly did begin to pull back, while the pressures on the yuan swiftly dissipated. Given this, it is interesting to note that, as dollar strength has returned in recent weeks, the yuan has also quietly been coming under renewed pressure (as have a number of other emerging market currencies). It is therefore worth asking at this stage whether the Chinese authorities would be prepared to mount a fresh defence of the yuan over the summer months, should renewed dollar strength start to take its toll.

There is a second reason, however, why renewed dollar strength might not be particularly welcome this summer. These are the growing signs that, once again, the currency policies of other nations are becoming a political issue within the US. Beyond the continued warnings from the US Treasury that other nations should avoid taking actions to deliberately devalue their currencies and presidential candidates' rhetoric, there are growing signs of it becoming an issue amongst US exporters. Steve Biegun, Ford's Head of International Government Affairs noted recently, "there has to be a clear agreement on what are the rules of the road, and there has to be consequences for those trading partners. Currency manipulation is just the mother of all trade barriers"!

CURRENCY CONFLICT

Concerns about "currency wars" are not a modern phenomenon. Indeed, the entire period since 1971 has been littered with accusations from governments (more often than not, driven by the complaints of domestic industry) that other nations were manipulating their currencies to obtain a competitive advantage. Probably the most notable incident to come out of these conflicts prior to 2002, was the signing of the Plaza Accord on September 22, 1985 by the Ministers of Finance and Central Bank Governors of France, Germany, Japan, the United Kingdom and the United States. In it, they agreed that "exchange rates should play a role in adjusting external imbalances. In order to do this, exchange rates should better reflect fundamental economic conditions than has been the case. They believe[d] that agreed policy actions must be implemented and reinforced to improve the fundamentals further, and that in view of the present and prospective changes in fundamentals, some further orderly appreciation of the main non-USD currencies against the USD (was)... desirable".

Although it is debatable whether the weakness of the JPY against the USD in the run up to the accord was really down to a deliberate attempt by Japan to manipulate its currency lower (1980 to 1985 had been the era of the Volker Fed), this had not prevented an increasingly vocal lobby group in the US from beginning to ask for protection against foreign competition. By 1985, the political pressure had grown sufficiently for Congress to begin thinking about trade restrictions. In the face of this, the White House was pressured into negotiating the accord despite its own free-market instincts – presumably seeing it as by far the lesser of two evils.

The net result of these resurgent concerns is that there is mounting international pressure on the Japanese authorities (led by the US) to refrain from either intervening directly in the currency markets or using monetary policy as a tool to weaken the yen. Although there are arguments to be made as to why Japan might be prepared to ignore its G20/G7 partners, the reality is that over the past thirty years or so, Tokyo has typically lost its currency policy battles with Washington.

If the FOMC is ready to hike rates this summer, then it has to hope that it can do so without stimulating fresh USD strength from here, given both the international and domestic political complications this might create.

That will be a tough balance to achieve.

http://www.afr.com/markets/currencies/us-seeks-to-check-yen-intervention-in-advance-20160519-gozhmt

bnymellon.com

BMY Mellon is the corporate brand of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation and may be used as a generic term to reference the corporation as a whole and/or its various subsidiaries generally. This material and any products and services may be issued or provided under various brand names in various countries by duly authorised and regulated subsidiaries, afficiates, and joint ventures of BNY Mellon, which may include any of the following. The Bank of New York Mellon, at 25 Liberty Street, New York, New York, New York (New York, New York, N

The information contained in this material is intended for use by wholesale/professional clients or the equivalent only and is not intended for use by retail clients. If distributed in the UK, this communication is not intended to be, and does not constitute, a "financial promotion" for the purposes of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000.

This material, which may be considered advertising, is for general information purposes only and is not intended to provide legal, tax, accounting, investment, financial or other professional advice on any matter. This material does not constitute a recommendation by BMY Mellon of any kind. Use of orducts and senses is subject to various regulations and regulatory oversight. You should discuss this material with appropriate advisors in the context of your circumstances before acting in any manner on this material or agreeing to use any of the referenced products or services and make your own independent assessment (based on such advice) as to whether the referenced products or services are appropriate or suitable for you. This material and yet to be comprehensive or up to date and there is no undertaking as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness or finess for a particular purpose of information given. BMY Mellon will not be responsible for updating any information contained within this material and opinions and information contained herein are subject to change without notice. BMY Mellon assumes no direct or consequential liability for any errors in or reliance upon this material.

This material may not be distributed or used for the purpose of providing any referenced products or services or making any offers or solicitations in any jurisdiction or in any circumstances in which such products, services, offers or solicitations are unlawful or not authorized, or where there would be, by virtue of such distribution, new or additional registration requirements.

Money market fund shares are not a deposit or obligation of BNY Mellon. Investments in money market funds are not insured, guaranteed, recommended or otherwise endorsed in any way by BNY Mellon, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other government agency. Securities instruments and services other than money market mutual funds and off-shore liquidity funds are offered by BNY Mellon Capital Markets, LIV.

The terms of any products or services provided by BNY Mellon to a client, including without limitation any administrative, valuation, trade secution or other services shall be solely determined by the definitive agreement relating to such products or services provided by BNY Mellon shall not be deemed to have been provided as fiduciary or adviser except as expressly provided in such definitive agreement. BNY Mellon may enter into a foreign exchange transaction, dervative transaction or collateral arrangement as a counterparty to a client, and its rights as counterparty or secured party under the applicable transactional agreement or collateral arrangement shall take precedence over any obligation it may have as fiduciary or adviser or as service provider under any other agreement.

Pursuant to Title VII of The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 and the applicable rules thereunder, The Bank of New York Mellon is provisionally registered as a swap dealer with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") and is a swap dealer member of the National Futures Association (NFAID 0420990).

BNY Mellon (including its broker-dealer affiliates) may have long or short positions in any currency, derivative or instrument discussed herein. BNY Mellon has included data in this material from information generally available to the public from sources believed to be reliable. Any price or other data used for illustrative purposes may not reflect actual current conditions. No representations or warranties are made, and BNY Mellon assumes no liability, as to the suitability of any products and services described herein for any particular purpose or the accuracy or completeness of any information or data contained in this material. Price and other data are subject to change at any time without notice.

Rates: neither BNY Mellon nor any other third party provider shall be liable for any errors in or delays in providing or making available the data (including rates, WM/Reuters Intra-Day Spot Rates and WM/Reuters Intra-Day Forward Rates) contained within this service or for any actions taken in reliance on the same, except to the extent that the same is directly caused by its or its employees negligence. The WM/Reuters Intra-Day Spot Rates and WM/Reuters Intra-Day Forward Rates are provided by The World Markets Company lot CYWM7 in conjunction with Reuters. WM shall not be liable for any errors in or delays in providing or making available the data contained within this service or for any actions taken in reliance on the same, except to the extent that the same is directly caused by its or its employees negligence.

This material may contain or include cortain "Greecast" statements that may reflect possible future events based on current expectations. Forecast statements are neither historical frects or assurances of future performance. Forecast statements typically include, and are not limited to year of such as one of contract that the property of the proper

Pershing Prime Services is a service of Pershing LLC, member FINPA, NYSE, SIPC, a wholly owned subsidiary of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation (BNY Mellon). Member of SIPC. Securities in your account protected up to \$500,000. For details, please see www.sipc.org.

All references to dollars are in US dollars unless specified otherwise

This material may not be reproduced or disseminated in any form without the prior written permission of BNY Mellon. Trademarks, logos and other intellectual property marks belong to their respective owners.

The Bank of New York Mellon, member FDIC.

© 2016 The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation. All rights reserved.

06/2016



