

**The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation
The Bank of New York Mellon**



BNY MELLON

**Company-Run Stress Test
Dodd-Frank Act Stress Test Results**

June 24, 2016

**Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario
Dodd-Frank Capital Actions**

**12 CFR Section 252.58
12 CFR Section 252.17**

Introduction

Throughout this document The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation on a consolidated basis is referred to as BNY Mellon, the Firm, we, our and us. BNY Mellon is a global investments company dedicated to improving lives through investing. We manage and service assets for financial institutions, corporations and individual investors in 35 countries and more than 100 markets.

BNY Mellon is focused on enhancing our clients' experience by leveraging our scale and expertise to deliver innovative and strategic solutions for our clients, building trusted relationships that drive value. We hold a unique position in the global financial services industry. We service both the buy-side and sell-side, providing us with unique marketplace insights that enable us to support our clients' success.

BNY Mellon's global client base consists of corporations, high-net-worth individuals and families, public funds and government agencies, foundations and endowments; global financial institutions, including banks, broker-dealers, asset managers, insurance companies and central banks; and financial intermediaries, independent registered investment advisors, and hedge fund managers. BNY Mellon is not focused on lending as a primary business and does not have a dedicated retail bank.

BNY Mellon is proud of the vital role that it plays in the global financial markets, enabling the markets to efficiently allocate capital by providing an infrastructure that facilitates the movement of cash and securities through these markets. As a global systemically important financial institution, we understand the critical function we perform for the marketplace, and embrace our leadership responsibility in terms of capital strength, liquidity risk management and integrity. In particular, we recognize the pivotal role that we play in the financial system with respect to payment, clearing and settlement activities, and that it is incumbent on us to ensure that the services we provide continue in the event that we encounter stress. BNY Mellon's key business model differentiators include the following:

- BNY Mellon has diverse streams of income, with a strategic focus on the Investment Management and Investment Services businesses.
- BNY Mellon's business model is fee-based, with fees representing 79% of our total revenues (excluding securities gains) as of Dec. 31, 2015. The vast majority of these fees are recurring and tend to be more stable in periods of stress.
- BNY Mellon does not provide traditional banking services to retail clients other than high-net-worth individuals within the Wealth Management business.
- BNY Mellon does not have material stand-alone market-making activities.
- BNY Mellon's trading business consists of low value-at-risk ("VaR") foreign exchange and derivatives trading where we are focused on acting as a market-maker for our customers and facilitating customer traders in compliance with the Volker Rule.

BNY Mellon's businesses benefit from the global growth in financial assets and from the globalization of the investment process, changes in demographics and the continued evolution of the regulatory landscape - each providing us with opportunities to advise and service clients.

Our strategy is designed to create economic value by differentiating our services to create competitive advantages that will deliver value to our clients and shareholders. Our top priorities include:

- Driving profitable revenue growth by leveraging our expertise and scale to offer broad-based, innovative solutions to clients;
- Executing our business improvement processes to increase productivity and effectiveness while controlling expenses and enhancing our efficiency;
- Being a strong, trusted counterparty by maintaining our safety and soundness, low-risk profile, and strong liquidity and capital positions;
- Generating excess capital and deploying it effectively; and
- Attracting, developing and retaining top talent.

Additional financial and other information about BNY Mellon and its principal business activities can be found in its 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K and subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and other filings, referred to as SEC filings, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which we make available on the Investor Relations section of our corporate website at <https://www.bnymellon.com>.

The projections contained herein are based on the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario provided by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System for the 2016 Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (“CCAR”) and annual Dodd-Frank Act Stress Testing (“DFAST”) exercises. The Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario is designed to be generally representative of a severe economic downturn scenario that can be described in many respects as similar to the recession beginning in 2008. The specific variables included in the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario such as economic activity, unemployment, exchange rates, prices, incomes, and interest rates are detailed in the document entitled “2016 Supervisory Scenarios for Annual Stress Tests Required under the Dodd-Frank Act Stress Testing Rules and the Capital Plan Rule” published on the Federal Reserve’s website on January 28, 2016. The Firm’s DFAST stress test relies on various models to forecast performance under stressed conditions. These models cover loss estimates, revenue projections, scenario infrastructure, and risk-weighted asset calculations. The projections contained within this disclosure represent hypothetical estimates that involve an economic outcome that is more adverse than expected, and accordingly these estimates are not forecasts of expected losses, pre-provision net revenue (“PPNR”), net income before taxes, or capital ratios.

BNY Mellon and The Bank of New York Mellon (the “Institutional Bank”) are required to conduct company-wide stress tests 12 C.F.R. part 252 (the “Regulation”). A summary of those results is also required to be published by BNY Mellon under the Regulation. Accordingly, we have developed the following disclosure, which contains the information required by the Regulation to be disclosed publicly. The information contained in this disclosure has been prepared in accordance with the Regulation. Any differences in the presentation of information concerning BNY Mellon contained herein relative to how the Firm presents such information for other purposes are solely due to our efforts to comply with the Regulation. The information presented herein does not, in any way, reflect changes to our organizational structure, business plans or practices, or strategy.

The Regulation requires us, among other things, to make certain assumptions regarding capital actions (“Dodd-Frank Capital Actions”) when computing pro forma capital ratios across the nine quarter planning horizon. These Dodd-Frank Capital Actions include:

- For the first quarter of 2016, actual capital actions

- For the second through ninth quarters of the planning horizon, the following capital actions:
 1. Common stock dividends equal to the quarterly average dollar amount of common stock dividends that BNY Mellon paid in the previous four quarters plus common stock dividends attributable to issuances related to expensed employee compensation or in connection with a planned merger or acquisition to the extent that the merger or acquisition is reflected in our pro forma balance sheet estimates;
 2. Payments on all other instruments eligible for inclusion in the numerator of a regulatory capital ratio equal to the stated dividend, interest, or principal due on such instrument during the quarter;
 3. No redemption or repurchase of any capital instrument that is eligible for inclusion in the numerator of a regulatory capital ratio; and
 4. No new issuances of capital instruments over the second through ninth quarters of the planning horizon, except for issuances related to expensed employee compensation.

In practice, if a severely adverse economic scenario were to in fact occur, it is highly likely that BNY Mellon would respond with certain capital conservation actions consistent with internal policy, and could change planned distributions. The stress test results summarized in this report should not be interpreted as expected or likely outcomes, but rather as a possible result under hypothetical, highly adverse economic conditions.

A description of the types of risks included in the stress test, a general description of methodologies applied and a summary of our company-run stress test results under the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario follows.

Description of types of risks included in the stress test

When conducting the company-run stress test under the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario, which, as noted above, incorporates Dodd-Frank Capital Actions, BNY Mellon evaluated and incorporated the principal risks that have been determined to influence it. These risks include operational/business risk, market risk, credit risk, and liquidity risk. The following table presents the primary types of risk typically embedded in on- and off-balance-sheet instruments.

Table 1: Risks of BNY Mellon’s On- and Off-balance Sheet Instruments

Balance Sheet Instruments	Types of Risk
Assets:	
Interest-bearing deposits with banks	Credit
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	Market, Credit
Securities	Market, Credit
Trading assets	Market, Credit
Loans	Credit
Goodwill	Operational/Business, Market
Intangible assets	Operational/Business, Market
Liabilities:	
Deposits	Liquidity
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	Liquidity

Trading liabilities	Market, Liquidity
Payables to customers and broker-dealers	Liquidity
Off-balance sheet instruments:	
Lending commitments	Credit, Liquidity
Standby letters of credit	Credit, Liquidity
Commercial letters of credit	Credit, Liquidity
Securities lending indemnifications	Market, Credit

Operational/Business Risk. Operational risk may result from the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes or human factors and systems. Operational risk may also include breaches of our technology and information systems resulting from unauthorized access to confidential information or from external events. Operational risk also includes fiduciary risk, reputational risk, and litigation risk.

Market Risk. Market risk is the risk of loss due to adverse changes in the financial markets. BNY Mellon's market risks are primarily interest rate, foreign exchange risk, and equity risk. Market risk particularly impacts our exposures that are marked-to-market such as the securities portfolio, trading book, and equity investments.

Credit Risk. Credit risk is the possible loss we would suffer if any of our borrowers or other counterparties were to default on their obligations to us. Credit risk is resident in the majority of our assets, but is primarily concentrated in the loan and securities books, as well as in off-balance sheet exposures such as lending commitments, letters of credit, and securities lending indemnifications.

Liquidity Risk. Liquidity risk is the risk that BNY Mellon cannot meet its cash and collateral obligations at a reasonable cost for both expected and unexpected cash flows without adversely affecting daily operations or financial conditions. Liquidity risk can arise from cash flow mismatches, market constraints from inability to convert assets to cash, inability to raise cash in the markets, deposit run-off, or contingent liquidity events. Thus, liquidity risk is inherent in the majority of our balance sheet exposures.

BNY Mellon's annual company-run stress test under the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario with Dodd-Frank Capital Actions contained wide-ranging impacts across multiple risk areas, including the principal risk types identified above. To incorporate these risks into our annual stress test, BNY Mellon identified and stressed key risk drivers and assumptions to estimate how losses might be incurred and how an event in one risk may migrate into other areas. The following section discusses BNY Mellon's methodology for translating the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario's variables into various financial impacts including expected losses, net income, on- or off-balance sheet exposure, liquidity, leverage, and capital positions. Please refer to BNY Mellon's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for a broader description of the BNY Mellon's capital planning and risk management process.

General description of methodologies

BNY Mellon has forecasted projected losses, PPNR, and other items affecting capital using a series of models and estimation techniques that translate the economic and financial variables in the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario to BNY Mellon's losses and revenues.

Occasionally it is necessary to supplement modeled projections with expert judgment where historical data may be inadequate to project loss and revenue estimates or historical relationships may not hold up under forward-looking hypothetical scenarios. In these cases, BNY Mellon ensures consistency of projections with the conditions of the stress test through a cross-functional governance structure and control environment that incorporates multiple levels of review, challenge, and approval.

Loan Losses. BNY Mellon has developed a series of models to estimate losses on various types of loans. Loss projection methods are product-specific and link economic variables to credit performance based on historical and expected relationships. The table below identifies major loan types and key assumptions used to derive loss estimates.

Table 2: Credit Portfolio Loss Methodologies and Assumptions

Loan Type	Description of Methodology	Key Assumptions
First-lien, Closed End Domestic Residential Mortgages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Statistical model estimated using loan-level data on mortgage characteristics and performance supplemented by macroeconomic indicators and house price data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Macroeconomic factors such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Housing Price Index (“HPI”) - Unemployment rate - Mortgage rates
Home Equity Lines of Credit (“HELOCs”)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regression approach based on historical relationships between macroeconomic factors and all US bank HELOC losses. The estimated loss rate for all US banks is then pro-rated to calculate the internal loss for BNY Mellon. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Macroeconomic factors such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - HPI - Unemployment rate - CPI inflation rate - Mortgage rate
Domestic Commercial Real Estate Loans	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individually assigning counterparties stressed ratings by adjusting the inputs to BNY Mellon’s commercial real estate (“CRE”) probability of default (“PD”) scorecard, which produces a stressed PD for each quarter. For each impaired exposure, a downturn loss given default (“LGD”) percentage is applied to the exposure at default (“EAD”) to generate an immediate credit loss. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Macroeconomic factors such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unemployment rate - BBB corporate yield - Commercial real estate price index - Prime rate
Wholesale and Other*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expected loss model relying on stressed transition matrix, PD, LGD, and usage given default (“UGD”). In the stressed transition matrix, LGD and UGD were linked to macroeconomic factors through statistical models. For each impaired exposure, a stressed LGD percentage is applied to the EAD to generate an immediate credit loss, where EAD is Stressed UGD times exposure. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Macroeconomic factors such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unemployment - Equity indices - GDP growth rate - HPI - Consumer price index (“CPI”) - CBOE Volatility Index (“VIX”)

*Commercial and industrial, loans to depositories and other financial institutions, loans for purchasing or carrying securities, overdrafts, and leases

Realized Gains/Losses on Securities. BNY Mellon uses instrument-specific methodologies to forecast other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) on its securities investment portfolio. Loss estimates are recognized in accordance with BNY Mellon’s established accounting policy. The table below identifies major security types and key assumptions used to derive loss estimates.

[Remainder of page left blank intentionally.]

Table 3: Securities Portfolio OTTI Methodologies and Assumptions

Security Type	Description of Methodology	Key Assumptions
Domestic Non-Agency Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities (“RMBS”)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Statistical model estimated using loan-level data on mortgage characteristics and performance supplemented by macroeconomic indicators and house price data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collateral type and characteristics Macroeconomic factors such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HPI Unemployment rate Mortgage rates
Foreign RMBS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Combines macro-economic variables, historical pool performance, and the pool level characteristics to generate monthly performance measures such as delinquencies, conditional prepayment rate (“CPR”), constant default rate (“CDR”), and charge offs. The performance measures are then used in a waterfall tool to determine losses on foreign RMBS tranches 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collateral type and characteristics Macroeconomic factors such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HPI Unemployment rate Interest rates
Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities (“CMBS”)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Combines macro-economic variables, CRE market factors and loan-level details to generate the credit risk measures including PD and LGD. PD and LGD are then used to determine losses on CMBS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loan details Property type and characteristics Macroeconomic factors such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HPI Unemployment rate Federal Funds rate Treasury 10 Year
Consumer Asset-Backed Securities (“ABS”)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Combines macro-economic variables, historical pool performance and the pool level characteristics to generate monthly performance measures such as delinquencies, CPR, CDR and charge offs. The performance measures are then used in a waterfall tool to determine losses on ABS tranches 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collateral type and characteristics Macroeconomic factors such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unemployment rate Treasury rates LIBOR rates
Bond Portfolio*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bond OTTI is projected using the expected Loss (PD×LGD) approach. The risk parameters PD and LGD are forecasted using statistical models that are driven by macroeconomic variables. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Corporate and Covered Bond – National level macroeconomic factors such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unemployment Rate GDP Growth Rate HPI, CPI, VIX Sovereign Bond – Country level macroeconomic factors such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unemployment rate CPI Debt to GDP ratio, GDP Current Account Balance to GDP ratio Municipal Bond – State Level macroeconomic factors such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unemployment Rate Median Family Income Mortgage Delinquency Rate
Collateralized Loan Obligations (“CLOs”)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bottom-up cash flow modeling approach in which the collateral performance is modeled on a loan-level basis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Underlying collateral metrics including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepayment rate Default rate Severity rate

*This portfolio consists of corporate bonds, municipal bonds, sovereign bonds, and covered bonds

Operational Losses. In addition, BNY Mellon used a methodology to estimate operational losses that incorporates both internal and external data. We forecast both litigation and non-litigation operational losses under separate methodologies.

For non-litigation loss estimates, BNY Mellon's forecasting methodology is a regression-based approach. The frequency is projected with a Poisson or negative binomial regression model (depending on the unit of measure). The severity projection is just an average severity obtained from internal historical data using a bootstrapping method. In addition, the frequency projection and severity projection for a stress scenario is stressed to the average Principal Component Analysis (“PCA”) percentile over 9 quarters. Finally, the loss projection is calculated as the product of the frequency projection and the severity projection. In addition, an overlay based on benchmarking against advanced measurement approaches scenarios and historical loss experience is applied to the model output.

For litigation loss estimates, BNY Mellon’s forecasting methodology relies on a combination of high frequency, low severity cases and low frequency, high severity cases that draw from historical experience as well as potential losses associated with new litigation that may arise over the normal course of business (within the projection horizon).

Pre-Provision Net Revenue. Consistent with the balance sheet and exposure assumptions used for loss estimation, BNY Mellon developed a suite of models to project all key elements of pre-provision net revenue including net interest income, non-interest income, and non-interest expense.

Table 4: Pre-Provision Net Revenue Methodologies and Assumptions

PPNR Component	Description of Methodology	Key Assumptions
Net Interest Income	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Current and forecasted balance sheet positions and cash flows are modeled by product type and reflect growth, runoff, prepayment and loss projection assumptions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Future balance sheet growth Runoff and pricing assumptions Interest rates and macroeconomic indicators Prepayment assumptions
Non-Interest Income	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total non-interest income projection is composed of a series of distinct projection models, each of which creates a fee revenue projection for some aspect of the business using historical fee revenue and business volume data The majority are regression models tied to the business and economic drivers, while certain areas are modeled using other techniques (such as seasonality decomposition). In cases where quantitative models were insufficiently robust, a combination of management judgment and historical average were employed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Business dynamic and strategy assumptions Relationship to economic drivers such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fixed Income and Equity Asset Prices - Interest Rates - Volatility Measurements - Monetary Measurements
Non-Interest Expense	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variable expenses were modeled based primarily on historical expense to non-interest revenue relationships Expenses deemed to be fixed in nature are projected generally in line with inflation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-interest revenue projections Growth rates

Capital Position. BNY Mellon’s forecasting process employed a set of methodologies to layer in the effect of losses, and pre-provision net revenue, on pro forma capital levels and ratios.

Future balance sheet growth, runoff, and pricing assumptions were derived from business line forecasts, historical trends, market dynamics, and balance sheet strategy input from senior management and are reflective of the economic and interest rate environments being analyzed under the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario. BNY Mellon forecasts risk-weighted assets (“RWA”) based on the changes in its individual asset components in each quarter of the projection horizon. Credit RWA was projected in a manner consistent with the phased-in transitional provisions of the U.S. capital rules and applicable regulatory guidance, which required BNY Mellon to use the U.S. capital rules’ standardized approach methodology (“standardized approach”) to calculate credit RWA. Additionally, the market risk capital rules were used over the entire projection period for calculating market risk RWA.

The Firm recognizes that the U.S. capital rules’ advanced approaches risk-weighting framework (“advanced approaches”) has been the Firm’s constraining measure and that the final U.S. capital rules’ transitional phase-in timeline for many significant items, including accumulated other comprehensive income (“AOCI”), intangible assets, and required regulatory capital levels and buffers, works to enhance its excess capital position in the near term. BNY Mellon’s Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario post-stress capital position reflects regulatory capital inclusive of PPNR and stress losses. Additionally, as discussed above, BNY Mellon’s Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario post-stress capital utilizes, in the second through ninth quarters of the planning horizon, the Dodd-Frank Capital Actions, which prescribe a series of assumptions regarding capital actions, including with respect to common stock dividends, contracted payments, and a general assumption of no redemptions, repurchases, or issuances of capital instruments. These assumptions do not reflect BNY Mellon’s currently planned capital actions, and might not reflect its behavior in an actual severely stressed environment. Moreover, BNY Mellon recognizes that the DFAST 2016 exercise does not yet include the SLR as a binding measure; however, BNY Mellon anticipates that when the enhanced SLR is phased-in, it is likely to become its binding regulatory capital constraint.

Counterparty Default. BNY Mellon is one of the eight banking organizations with substantial trading or custodial operations required to incorporate a counterparty default scenario component into the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario. Specifically, per guidance, BNY Mellon is required to estimate and report the potential losses and related effects on capital associated with the instantaneous and unexpected default of the Firm’s single largest counterparty across derivatives, securities lending, and repurchase/reverse repurchase agreement activity. BNY Mellon’s single largest counterparty was determined by net stressed losses, which were computed by revaluing exposures and collateral using the set of hypothetical asset price shocks specified in the Federal Reserve’s global market shock scenarios.

Explanation of the most significant causes for changes in regulatory capital

As demonstrated by BNY Mellon’s DFAST results, the Firm maintains excess regulatory capital in every quarter, for every ratio, over the entire planning horizon throughout the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario. This success is driven by a number of factors, including the Firm’s strong capital generation and its risk profile. However, BNY Mellon recognizes that the U.S. capital rules’ transitional phase-in timeline for many significant items, including AOCI, intangible assets, and other matters, works to enhance its excess capital position in the near term. BNY Mellon further recognizes that its capital position was enhanced because the DFAST 2016 exercise does not require RWA to be calculated under the advanced approaches and the advanced approaches has been the Firm’s constraining measure in recent quarters.

The most significant cause of declines in BNY Mellon's regulatory capital ratios over the planning horizon under the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario is losses related to the default of a major credit counterparty in the first projection quarter. Additionally, impairments within the securities portfolio and trading book losses occurring in the first projection quarter also contribute to the decline in BNY Mellon's regulatory capital ratios.

BNY Mellon – Tables of Statistical Results.

ANNUAL COMPANY-RUN RESULTS

Dodd-Frank Act Stress Testing Results

Projected Stressed Capital Ratios – BNY Mellon Estimates in the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario

The capital ratios are calculated using the Dodd-Frank Capital Actions. These projections represent hypothetical estimates that involve an economic outcome that is more adverse than expected. These estimates are not forecasts of expected losses, revenues, net income before taxes, or capital ratios. The minimum capital ratio presented is for the period from the first quarter of 2016 through the first quarter of 2018.

Table 5: Projected Stressed Capital Ratios through Q1 2018 under the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario

	Actual Q4 2015	Stressed Capital Ratios	
		Ending	Minimum
Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio (%)	11.5 ¹	11.4	9.6
Tier 1 capital ratio (%)	13.1 ¹	13.6	11.0
Total capital ratio (%)	13.5 ¹	14.0	11.4
Tier 1 leverage ratio (%)	6.0	5.4	5.0

¹ Actual fourth quarter 2015 Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 and Total capital ratios are calculated using the standardized approach. At December 31, 2015 BNY Mellon's reported Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 capital, and Total capital ratios were 10.8%, 12.3%, and 12.5%, respectively, based on asset risk-weightings using the U.S. capital rules' advanced approaches risk weighting framework, which was the Firm's constraining measure for that quarter.

Table 6: Projected Loan Losses by Type of Loan for Q1 2016 through Q1 2018 under the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario

	Millions of Dollars	Portfolio Loss Rates (%) ¹
Loan Losses	\$1,152	2.0%
First-lien mortgages	\$ 42	0.5%
Junior liens and HELOCs	\$ 0	0.0%
Commercial and industrial	\$ 69	1.8%
Commercial real estate, domestic	\$ 200	10.0%
Credit cards	\$ 0	0.0%
Other consumer	\$ 13	0.5%
Other loans	\$ 828	2.0%

¹ Average loan balances used to calculate portfolio loss rates exclude loans held for sale and loans held for investment under the fair value option, and are calculated over nine quarters. Amounts are rounded to the nearest million.

Table 7: Projected Losses, Revenue, and Net Income Before Taxes for Q1 2016 through Q1 2018 under the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario

	Millions of Dollars ⁶	Percent of Average Assets ¹
Pre-provision net revenue ²	\$ 4,337	1.1%
Other revenue ³	\$ 0	0.0%
Less		
Provisions	\$ 1,299	0.3%
Realized losses/(gains) on securities (AFS/HTM)	\$ 273	0.1%
Trading and counterparty losses ⁴	\$ 1,571	0.4%
Other losses/(gains) ⁵	\$ 12	0.0%
Equals		
Net income before taxes	\$ 1,182	0.3%

- 1 Average assets are averaged over the nine-quarter planning horizon. Amounts are rounded to the nearest tenth of a percentage point.
- 2 Pre-provision net revenue includes losses from operational-risk events, mortgage repurchase expenses, and other real estate owned costs.
- 3 Other revenue includes one-time income and (expense) items not included in pre-provision net revenue.
- 4 Trading and counterparty losses include mark-to-market and credit valuation adjustments losses and losses arising from the counterparty default scenario component applied to derivatives, securities lending, and repurchase agreement activities.
- 5 Other losses/gains includes projected change in fair value of loans held for sale and loans held for investment measured under the fair value option, and goodwill impairment losses.
- 6 Amounts are rounded to the nearest million and portfolio loss rates are rounded to the nearest tenth of a percentage point.

Institutional Bank – Summary of Results.

When conducting the company-run stress test under the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario and Dodd-Frank Capital Actions, the Institutional Bank evaluated the types of risks and utilized the same methodologies as described above in the discussion concerning BNY Mellon.

As demonstrated by the Institutional Bank's DFAST results, the Institutional Bank maintains excess regulatory capital in every quarter of the planning horizon for every ratio of the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario. This success is driven by a number of factors, including the Institutional Bank's strong capital generation, asset quality, business mix, and risk profile. However, the Institutional Bank recognizes that the Basel III Rule's transitional phase-in timeline for many significant items, AOCI, intangible assets, and other matters, works to enhance its excess capital position in the near term. The Institutional Bank further recognizes that the DFAST 2016 exercise approaches risk-weighted assets solely from the perspective of the standardized approach for advanced approaches organizations, while during recent quarters the advanced approaches risk-weighting framework has been the Institutional Bank's constraining measure. Moreover, the Institutional Bank recognizes that the DFAST 2016 exercise does not yet include the SLR as a binding measure; however, the Institutional Bank anticipates that when the enhanced SLR is phased-in, it is likely to become the Institutional Bank's binding regulatory capital constraint.

The results of the Institutional Bank's annual DFAST stress test demonstrate that its business model serves as a source of strength in stress environments. As a result, the Institutional Bank is able to remain well-capitalized throughout the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario.

[Remainder of page left blank intentionally.]

Institutional Bank – Table of Statistical Results.**COMPANY-RUN RESULTS****Dodd-Frank Act Stress Testing Results**

Projected Stressed Capital Ratios

Institutional Bank Estimates in the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario

Table 8: Projected Stressed Capital Ratios through Q1 2018 under the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario

	Actual Q4 2015	Stressed Capital Ratios ¹	
		Ending	Minimum
Common equity tier 1 capital ratio (%)	12.9 ²	14.3	10.9
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (%)	13.3 ²	14.6	11.2
Total risk-based capital ratio (%)	13.7 ²	16.0	12.0
Tier 1 leverage ratio (%)	5.9	5.6	5.0

1 The capital ratios are calculated using the Dodd-Frank Capital Actions. These projections represent hypothetical estimates that involve an economic outcome that is more adverse than expected. These estimates are not forecasts of expected losses, revenues, net income before taxes, or capital ratios. The minimum capital ratio presented is for the period from the first quarter of 2016 through the first quarter of 2018.

2 Actual fourth quarter 2015 Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 and Total capital ratios are calculated using the standardized approach. At December 31, 2015 the Institutional Bank's reported constraining Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 capital, and Total capital ratios were 11.8%, 12.3%, and 12.5%, respectively, based on asset risk-weightings using the U.S. capital rules' advanced approaches risk weighting framework.

Forward-Looking Statements

Additional information related to BNY Mellon is contained in BNY Mellon's reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), including the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 (including the Annual Report to Shareholders (the "Annual Report") included with the 10-K) (the "2015 Form 10-K"), the Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and the Current Reports on Form 8-K (each, a "'34 Act Report"). These periodic '34 Act Reports can be viewed, as they become available, on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and at www.bnymellon.com. Information contained in '34 Act Reports that BNY Mellon furnishes to the SEC subsequent to the date of the 2015 Form 10-K may modify, update and supersede the information contained in the 2015 Form 10-K and provided in this document.

This document and BNY Mellon's '34 Act Reports referred to above contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as "estimate," "forecast," "project," "anticipate," "confident," "target," "expect," "intend," "seek," "believe," "plan," "goal," "could," "should," "may," "will," "strategy," "opportunities," "trends" and words of similar meaning, signify forward-looking statements. These statements are based on the current beliefs and expectations of BNY Mellon's management and are subject

to significant risks and uncertainties that are subject to change based on various important factors (some of which are beyond BNY Mellon's control). Actual results may differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause BNY Mellon's actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements can be found in the "Risk Factors" section of the 2015 Form 10-K and other subsequent '34 Act Reports filed with the SEC. All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made and BNY Mellon does not undertake to update the forward-looking statements to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that may arise after the date of the forward-looking statements.